

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year

Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
School Name	Meadowbrook Middle School	District Name	Poway Unified
Street	12320 Meadowbrook Ln.	Phone Number	858-521-2800
City, State, Zip	Poway, CA 92064-3599	Web Site	www.powayusd.com
Phone Number	858-748-0802	Superintendent	John P. Collins, Ed.D
Principal	Dr. Miguel Carrillo	E-mail Address	elehew@powayusd.com
E-mail Address	mcarrillo@powayusd.com	CDS Code	37682966038988

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Meadowbrook Middle School, located in Poway, a suburban community 25 miles north of metropolitan San Diego, is an energetic and nurturing middle school where young adolescents are valued and respected. The oldest middle school in the Poway Unified School District, Meadowbrook was built in 1964 to house 800 students. The current enrollment of just under 1300 students has necessitated the addition of twelve new classrooms, including two science labs. These were added in 2008-09 as part of an extensive modernization project. The school currently has 52 classrooms (including five science labs), three 40-station computer labs, a multipurpose room, a number of conference rooms, administrative offices, a gymnasium (shared with the City of Poway), playing fields (including a lighted "Class A" soccer field), and athletic locker rooms and facilities. The library houses a student collection and teacher resource and reference materials. Each classroom has at least one computer and all are networked to a central file server providing informational software as well as Internet access. The modernization also included upgrades to the electrical, heating and air, data and technology

systems as well as new carpeting, lighting, and cabinetry in all classrooms. The administration building was completely redesigned to add more offices, a teacher workroom and staff lounge, and the library was expanded and completely updated. During the modernization, a new cafeteria, lunch area, and choir room were built. Additionally, the landscaping was totally redone in addition to interior and exterior painting throughout the campus. This much needed renovation gives Meadowbrook the look, feel and technological capability of a brand new school.

Meadowbrook is one of six middle schools in the Poway Unified School District. The school serves a culturally and ethnically diverse population of 1271 students who live in tract homes built in the mid-50's to early 60's, apartments and low-income housing units, and newer homes and condominiums built in the communities of Sabre Springs and Carmel Mountain Ranch. At Meadowbrook 49% of the students are white. Other ethnic groups represented are Hispanic (19%), Asian (15%), Filipino (7%), and African-American (3%). The remaining 7% of the population are of multiple ethnicities or declined to declare. In total, there are 16 different languages represented and 142 students (11%) have been identified as limited-English proficient. 19% of the students come from low –income families. Also included within the population are 33 full-day special education learning-disabled non-severely handicapped students, 93 resource students and 58 speech students. There are also 166 identified gifted and talented (GATE) students. Each special needs population is served both according to state law and individual requirements. In addition, there are 62 AVID students (total between 7th and 8th grade). Finally, Student Support Services and before and after-school programs provide further academic and social support for the students at Meadowbrook.

The staff of 79.7 certificated and classified employees provides the support and instruction needed for students to receive an excellent education. There are 46 full-time teachers, a half-time librarian, a speech pathologist, a .8 school psychologist, 2 administrators, and 2 counselors. Twenty-seven classified staff, including instructional assistants, office staff, custodians and a groundskeeper support the teaching and administrative staff.

Meadowbrook has received many awards and honors, including California Distinguished School, National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence and the California Campaign for the Civic Mission of Schools 2008 Educating for Democracy School of Distinction Award. Parents and community members serve as volunteers (logging an average of over 500 volunteer hours monthly) and are actively involved members of decision and policy-making committees, including the School Site Council. The PTSA has a membership of over 650 parents, students, and teachers. In addition, the Meadowbrook Educational Foundation provides financial support for technology including classroom computers, LCD's, document camera and a physical education fitness lab. The after school program, community organizations and athletic groups regularly use the facilities during non-school hours.

Mission Statement: Meadowbrook's vision is to ensure that every student will master the knowledge and develop the skills and attitudes essential for college readiness and future success in life.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Meadowbrook recognizes the importance of the partnership between home/school and remains committed to supporting the District's Parents As Partners Core Value. Parents have been included in the improvement process and serve in an advisory capacity on committees, including school climate and the Community of Kindness. There are many opportunities for parent involvement: serving on the School Site Council, the Meadowbrook Educational Foundation, PTSA , and volunteering in classrooms or for special events.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 5	1
Grade 6	456
Grade 7	394
Grade 8	404
Total Enrollment	1,255

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.4	White	51.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6	Two or More Races	2
Asian	16.3	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24.2
Filipino	6.8	English Learners	10.1
Hispanic or Latino	18.6	Students with Disabilities	10.4
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.8		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2008-09			2009-10			2010-11					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
6								7.4	7	0	0	

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2008-09			2009-10			2010-11					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	28.8	7	26	16	26	12	26	8	32.5	3	5	17
Mathematics	32.1	1	13	21	26.6	8	25	12	33.9	3	3	19
Science	32.2	3	11	25	31.5	1	19	18	35.4	1	3	18
Social Science	33.5	0	8	29	30	1	32	7	35.9	0	4	17

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Meadowbrook places strong emphasis on safety for students and staff. Emergency plans are revised yearly and reviewed frequently. Annually, training procedures and drills for earthquakes, lockdowns, fire and bus evacuation are held and the asbestos plan is updated. The school's discipline policy is aligned with District policy and reviewed annually by students, staff and parents. The staff supports a single school culture where ALL teachers are following and enforcing the same school-wide discipline plan and consequences. Each fall students receive a parent/student Binder Reminder Handbook. This handbook contains the dress code, code of conduct, as well as a comprehensive school-wide discipline plan that details expectations and the corresponding positive/negative consequences. These consequences for actions are enforceable and consistent. In order to address student needs such as anger management, grief, divorce, friendship and school attitudes, counselors meet with small groups of students for a six to eight week period of time to provide support, coping strategies, and teach conflict management and peer mediation strategies. Under the supervision of staff, numerous clubs and activities are available to students before and after school, including sports, homework, chess, cooking, computers and a variety of other activities. These provide a safe place for students who have to be dropped off early before school or picked up after school hours.

In response to staff, student, and parent input, a Distributive Leadership group began a Community of Kindness program in the fall of 2011. The student and parents attended a kick-off assembly in December 2011, and teachers will teach six, monthly lessons on kindness January through June, 2012. Additionally, there is a committee of forty students who lead the initiative under the guidance of several teachers and the counselors.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	4.97	5.29	8.29	2.97	3.06	3.08
Expulsions	0	0	0.64	0	0.09	0.26

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 06/20/2011

The school district ensures that students attend clean and safe facilities. The district's maintenance staff follows a comprehensive scheduled preventive and deferred maintenance program to offset costly repairs and minimize disruptions to the instructional program. A \$198 million school bond was passed by the community in 2002, which is funding the renovation of 23 older schools and replacing the oldest school in the district. Planned renovations at each school will address inequity of learning facilities, expand core facilities, add classrooms and improve access to technology. In accordance with Education Code Section 17070.75(e), the District uses a School Facilities Inspection System developed by the State of California to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. Daily cleaning schedules ensure that classrooms, offices and bathrooms are clean and well maintained. Playgrounds and fields are ample and well maintained. A full time custodian is on every campus throughout every school day. A night custodial crew cleans classrooms, restrooms and offices daily. The district has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. A summary of these standards is available at the district offices.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[X]	[]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	52	41	49	1363
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	21
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

"Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	99.29	0.71
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.35	0.65

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	633
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	---
Psychologist	.8	---
Social Worker		---
Nurse		---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		---
Other		---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: 10/1/2011

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Each pupil, including English learners, has a textbook or reading language arts material, or both, as required by the state of California. Appropriate materials and textbooks are available for each child to use in class and to take home to complete required homework assignments. All textbooks are aligned to the California Content Standards and approved for use by the State and the Poway Unified Board of Education.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Each pupil, including English learners, has a textbook or math material, or both, as required by the state of California. Appropriate materials and textbooks are available for each child to use in class and to take home to complete required homework assignments. All textbooks are aligned to the California Content Standards and approved for use by the State and the Poway Unified Board of Education.	Yes	0
Science	Each pupil, including English learners, has a textbook or science/health material, or both, as required by the state of California. Appropriate materials and textbooks are available for each child to use in class and to take home to complete required homework assignments. These materials include science laboratory equipment for grades 9 to 12, inclusively. All textbooks are aligned to the California Content Standards and approved for use by the State and the Poway Unified Board of Education.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Each pupil, including English learners, has a textbook or History/Social Science material or both, as required by the state of California. Appropriate materials and textbooks are available for each child to use in class and to take home to complete required homework assignments. All textbooks are aligned to the California Content Standards and approved for use by the State and the Poway Unified Board of Education.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Each pupil, including English learners, has a textbook or foreign language material, or both, as required by the state of California. Appropriate materials and textbooks are available for each child to use in class and to take home to complete required homework assignments. All textbooks are aligned to the California Content Standards and approved for use by the State and the Poway Unified Board of Education.	Yes	0
Health			
Visual and Performing Arts			
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	--	--	--	\$67,130
District	---	---	\$7,379	\$63,343
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	--	6%
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$69,207
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	--	-3%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

The district's general fund includes monies for:

1. General operations—services, materials, and support to the general education
2. Special education—programs offering appropriate, individualized education to students with special needs
3. Gifted and Talented Education—specialized learning assistance for students with high ability, achievement, or potential
4. Special projects—monies from agencies (e.g., federal, state) earmarked for specific services
5. Transportation
6. Maintenance and operations
7. District administration

Each school in the district receives an instructional budget based on enrollment, programs, and on formulas set by the Board of Education policy, state law, agreements with employee bargaining units, and guidelines of outside funding agencies.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,960	\$42,017
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,570	\$67,294
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,960	\$86,776
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$115,784	\$108,534
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$122,284	\$112,893
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$129,238	\$123,331
Superintendent Salary	\$253,280	\$226,417
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	43%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	69	72	73	76	78	78	49	52	54
Mathematics	62	61	61	67	69	69	46	48	50
Science	82	79	84	77	80	81	50	54	57
History-Social Science	66	68	71	69	68	71	41	44	48

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	78	69	81	71
All Student at the School	73	61	84	71
Male	70	62	85	72
Female	76	60	83	69
Black or African American	62	44	71	71
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
Asian	87	85	95	89
Filipino	75	69	87	73
Hispanic or Latino	49	35	66	43
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	69	38	0	0
White	78	64	87	74
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51	37	68	53
English Learners	26	23	32	16
Students with Disabilities	32	25	47	41
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	8.8	24.5	58

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	9	9	9
Similar Schools	4	4	3

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	10	3	9
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian	3	8	0
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	30	-6	21
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	2	8	6
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15	9	26
English Learners	44	13	-8
Students with Disabilities		38	42

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	School		LEA		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	1,198	868	25,098	887	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	45	812	802	797	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	8		126	819	33,774	733
Asian	202	958	4,910	947	398,869	898
Filipino	90	892	1,949	881	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	217	752	3,013	805	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	15	785	204	848	26,953	764
White	621	884	14,093	891	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	0		0		76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	276	764	3,531	781	2,731,843	726
English Learners	245	762	3,871	830	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	147	681	2,877	708	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	2.7

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

Research supports the positive effects professional development has on increasing student learning. All teaching staff is afforded the opportunity to participate in district and site sponsored professional development. These workshops are presented in a semester or year-long series and support a wide variety of professional development. These include the use of effective instructional strategies, implementing the use of computer technology, including iPod and iPads, in teaching and learning, critical reading, writing and mathematics strategies, effective use of assessments, positive discipline in-services, and multicultural training. Teachers and administrators are actively involved in professional development activities at the school, district, state, and national level. The Poway Professional Assistance Program (PPAP) provides support for new teachers. Teachers are encouraged to participate in the National Board Certification process and recognized by the Poway Unified School District when they earn NBCT status.